

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Office Action dated 20 September 2004. Responsive to the rejections made by the Examiner in the Official Action, Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to clarify the language thereof.

Upon review of the specification of the subject Patent Application, a typographical error was found. The Specification has now been amended to correct this typographical error. The amendment incorporated in the Specification is purely formal in nature and therefore introduces no new matter.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner gave several examples of indefinite language. However, the Examiner kindly indicated that the Claims would be allowable if the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 was overcome.

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to remove each of the informalities noted by the Examiner. It is believed, therefore, that the Claims are now in allowable form.

MR957-1381

Application Serial No. 10/647,317

Responsive to Office Action dated 20 September 2004

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FOR: ROSENBERG KLEIN & LEE



David I. Klein

Registration #33,253

Dated: 12/6/2004

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Suite 101
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410) 465-6678

Customer No.
04586